

Constructing an Adams Operation on $BP\langle n \rangle$

Gabrielle Li

Telescope Conjecture Learning Seminar

July 20, 2024

Introduction

- Objects: $MU_{(p)}$, E_n , $BP\langle n \rangle$
- Ingredients: Adams operation on $MU_{(p)}$ (stable Adams conjecture), Adams operation on E_n (Hopkins–Miller)
- Goal: Constructing a locally unipotent Adams operation on $BP\langle n \rangle$ that is compatible with the Adams operations above

Theorem (5.4, Burkland–Hahn–Levy–Schlank)

The $(\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2)$ - $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra underlying the \mathbb{E}_3 - $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra $BP\langle n \rangle$ admits a lift

$$BP\langle n \rangle^\Psi \in \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2}(\text{Mod}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; MU_{(p)}^\Psi))$$

such that

- *there is a map $\iota : BP\langle n \rangle^\Psi \rightarrow E_n^\Psi$ in $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Mod}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; MU_{(p)}^\Psi))$.*

Theorem (BHLS (cont.))

- an identification in $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{T(n)} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E_n^\Psi \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ (E_n^\Psi)^{h\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)} & \longrightarrow & E_n^\Psi \end{array}$$

where $\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ fits into

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mu_{p^n-1} & \hookrightarrow & \mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{S}_n & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_n & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

- the underlying \mathbb{Z} -action on $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ is locally unipotent in p -complete spectra after p -completion.

Outline of the construction

- Refine the \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra structure on E_n to an $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra together with a $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra map:

$$\iota : \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow E_n.$$

(Theorem 5.9, Theorem 5.10)

- Use the self-centrality of E_n and universal property of center to show that the $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra structure on E_n corresponds to an \mathbb{E}_4 -algebra map $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow E_n$. (Theorem 5.12, 5.13)
- Base case: Show that the underlying \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra map of the \mathbb{E}_4 -algebra map $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow E_n$ can be refined to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra map $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow E_n$, so E_n^Ψ lifts to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant $\mathbb{E}_2\text{-MU}_{(p)}^\Psi$ -algebra. (Theorem 5.16)

- Induction: Refine the \mathbb{E}_1 - $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ to

$$\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi \in \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; \mathrm{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi)).$$

and extend $\iota : \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow E_n$ to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant map between \mathbb{E}_1 - $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi$ -algebras. (Theorem 5.29)

- Lift the underlying $\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2$ - $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ to

$$\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi \in \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; \mathrm{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi)).$$

(Theorem 5.33)

- Use the fact that $\Phi^I \in \mathbb{G}_n$ is central to show that the Adams operation and taking the homotopy fixed point commute + prove local idempotence.

Adams operation on $MU_{(p)}$ and E_n

Construction (Adams operation on E_n)

Let $l = 3$ for $p = 2$ and $l = 2$ for odd p for this talk. Let $\psi^l \in \mathbb{G}_n : E_n \rightarrow E_n$ that arises from the \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -action on the chosen formal group of height n over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, such that it acts on $\pi_{2k}(E_n)$ by l^k .

Construction (Adams operation on $MU_{(p)}$)

The stable Adams conjecture gives us

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\psi^l} & BU_{(p)} \\ & \searrow J & \swarrow J \\ & BSL_1(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) & \end{array}$$

Taking the Thom spectrum, we get an Adams operation on $MU_{(p)}$.

Notation

- The category $\text{Mod}(\text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi; \text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}})$ has objects $\{(X, \Psi_X) : X \in \text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}} \cap \text{Mod}(\text{MU}), \text{MU}_{(p)}\text{-module map } \Psi_X : X \rightarrow \Psi_*(X)\}$ and morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ are commutative diagrams of $\text{MU}_{(p)}$ -module maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \Psi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_Y \\ \Psi_* X & \xrightarrow{\Psi_* f} & \Psi_* Y \end{array}$$

- $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}(j)$: invertible object in p -complete spectra where Ψ^j acts by multiplying by j on $\pi_0(\mathbb{S}_{(p)})^\times$.
- $\text{MU}_{(p)}(j) = \text{MU}_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_p(j)$.
- $S^{n,[l]}$: sphere with a degree- l self map.

\mathbb{E}_3 - $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra structure on E_n

We have a classical result that there is an isomorphism

$$L_{T(n)} \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} (E_n)^{h\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)},$$

and our goal here is to define a $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}$ -module structure on E_n . In the construction of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$, we define $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}[y]$ as the Thom spectrum of

$$\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p^n-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}).$$

Analogously, we define $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}[y^{1/(p^n-1)}]$ as the Thom spectrum of

$$\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}).$$

We define

$$\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle[v_n^{1/(p^n-1)}] := \mathrm{MU}_{(p)}[y^{1/(p^n-1)}] \otimes_{\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}} \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$$

and we obtained a $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-}\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}[y^{1/(p^n-1)}]$ -algebra.

Lemma

The commutative algebra map

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathrm{MU}_{(p)}[y^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_k \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \otimes \mathrm{MU}_{(p)}[y^{\pm 1/(p^n-1)}]$$

is a $\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ pro-Galois extension.

Proof. (sketch)

Lifting the $\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ pro-Galois extension on homotopy groups.

Proposition

There is an identification of underlying \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_{T(n)} \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle & \longrightarrow & L_{T(n)}(\mathrm{colim}_k \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \otimes \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle[v_n^{1/(p^n-1)}]) \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 (E_n)^{h\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)} & \longrightarrow & E_n
 \end{array}$$

Proof. (sketch)

The homotopy group of $L_{T(n)}(\mathrm{colim}_k \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \otimes \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle[v_n^{1/(p^n-1)}])$ agrees with a Lubin–Tate theory, so it agrees as an \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra with a Lubin–Tate theory, which is E_n as there is one formal group over an algebraically closed field up to isomorphism.

Therefore, we obtain a refinement of the underlying \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra structure on E_n to an $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra structure and a map of $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra:

$$\iota : \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow E_n.$$

The \mathbb{Z} -equivariant $\mathbb{E}_2\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra structure on E_n

It's a fact [Lur17, Section 5.3] that for an \mathbb{E}_m -algebra R , its center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(R)$ is the terminal \mathbb{E}_{m+1} -algebra A such that R lifts to a \mathbb{E}_m - A -algebra. Explicitly, we have that R lifts to a \mathbb{E}_m - $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{E}_m}$ -algebra, and for any \mathbb{E}_{m+1} -algebra B such that R lifts to a \mathbb{E}_m - B -algebra, there is a corresponding \mathbb{E}_{m+1} -algebra map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{E}_m} R$.

Proposition

The \mathbb{E}_m center of E_n is isomorphic to E_n for $m \geq 2$.

Therefore, the structure map of $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra:

$$f : \text{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow E_n$$

corresponds to a map of \mathbb{E}_4 -algebra:

$$\bar{f} : \text{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{E}_3} E_n \cong E_n.$$

Our goal is to refine E_n^Ψ to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant $\mathbb{E}_2\text{-MU}_{(p)}^\Psi$ -algebra from the $\mathbb{E}_3\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra structure on E_n , so it suffices to refine the \mathbb{E}_4 -algebra map \bar{f} to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra map

$$\text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi \rightarrow E_n^\Psi.$$

Proposition

The underlying \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra map of any \mathbb{E}_4 -algebra map \bar{f} can be refined to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant \mathbb{E}_3 -algebra map

$$\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}^{\Psi} \rightarrow E_n^{\Psi}.$$

Proof. (sketch)

By [ACB19, Theorem 3.5], the space of \mathbb{E}_4 -algebra map \bar{f} is isomorphic to the space of nullhomotopies of the composite

$$BU(p) \xrightarrow{J} BSL(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \rightarrow BSL_1(E_n)$$

in the category of 4-fold loop maps, which is then equivalent to this space of nullhomotopies in pointed spaces of the composite

$$B^4BU(p) \xrightarrow{J} B^5SL(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \rightarrow B^5SL_1(E_n).$$

We prove this theorem by showing that every nullhomotopies of this composite can be refined to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant nullhomotopy of the composite

$$B^{4,[I^2]}BU(p) \xrightarrow{J} B^{5,[I^2]}SL(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \rightarrow B^{5,[I^2]}SL_1(E_n).$$

Applying $\Omega^{4,[I^2]}$ to the sequence above, we get a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant nullhomotopy of the composite in the category of 3-fold loop maps as $\mathcal{S}^{4,[I^2]} = \mathcal{S}^{3,[0]} \wedge \mathcal{S}^{1,[I^2]}$.

Corollary

The \mathbb{E}_3 - $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra structure on E_n can be used to refine E_n^Ψ to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant \mathbb{E}_2 - $\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi$ -algebra.

Definition (Connective algebra)

For a stable, presentably symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} , an algebra object $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ is called connected if A is connective and $\pi_0(A) = \pi_0(\mathbb{1})$. Let $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})^{\geq 1}$ denote the connected algebra object in \mathcal{C} .

Definition (Functor \mathbb{H})

We define \mathbb{H} to be the composite of

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})^{\geq 1} \xrightarrow{\otimes \pi_0 \mathbb{1}} \text{Alg}(\text{Mod}(\mathcal{C}; \pi_0(\mathbb{1}))) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C}; \pi_0(\mathbb{1})).$$

Concretely, we have

$$\mathbb{H}(A) = \text{fib}(A \otimes \pi_0(\mathbb{1}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbb{1}) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_0(\mathbb{1})).$$

Example

- $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{1} \{X\}) \cong X$.
- Take $\mathcal{C} = \text{Mod}(\text{MU}_{(p)})$, then $\pi_0(\mathbb{1}) = \pi_0(\text{MU}_{(p)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. It is calculated that $\pi_*(\mathbb{H}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle))$ is torsion-free, finitely generated, and concentrated in positive odd degrees.
- $\text{fib}(\mathbb{H}(A \amalg B) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(A \otimes B)) \cong \mathbb{H}(A) \otimes_{\pi_0(\mathbb{1})} \mathbb{H}(B)$.

Lemma (\mathbb{E}_1 -cellular approximation)

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})^{\geq 1}$ and let

$$\mathbb{H}(A) = M_0 \xrightarrow{m_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{m_1} M_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_\infty = \mathbb{H}(B)$$

be an increasing filtration such that

- ① m_i is k_i -connective for a non-decreasing sequence k_i with $k_1 \geq 0$,
- ② for each $i \geq 1$, there are objects $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq k_i}$ such that $X_i \otimes \pi_0(\mathbb{1}) \cong \text{fib}(m_i)$ and $[X_i, Y] = 0$ for any $(k_i + 2)$ -connective Y .

Lemma (\mathbb{E}_1 -cellular approximation, cont.)

Then there exists a filtration in $\mathrm{Alg}(\mathcal{C})^{\geq 1}$:

$$A := R_0 \xrightarrow{r_1} R_1 \xrightarrow{r_2} \cdots \rightarrow R_\infty \rightarrow B$$

such that

- ① the map $R_i \rightarrow B$ is k_{i+1} -connective,
- ② the functor \mathbb{H} sends $\{R_i\}$ to $\{M_i\}$,
- ③ each R_i is built as a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{1} \{X_i\} & \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} & \mathbb{1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{r_i} & R_i \end{array}$$

- ④ the map $R_\infty \rightarrow B$ is ∞ -connective.

We apply this lemma to the category of $MU_{(p)}$ -module spectra. Using above examples, we will see shortly that it's relatively easy to construct a filtration on the homology level \mathbb{H} (as a split Posnikov tower where we know what the fibers are) that satisfies the connective and coconnective hypothesis. We want to obtain a filtration on the spectrum level, which allows us to extend the \mathbb{Z} -equivariant structure level by level from $MU_{(p)}$ to $BP\langle n \rangle$ via taking pushout.

$BP\langle n \rangle$ as a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant \mathbb{E}_1 - $MU_{(p)}^\Psi$ -algebra

Proposition

The underlying \mathbb{E}_1 - $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra of $BP\langle n \rangle$ lifts to

$$BP\langle n \rangle^\Psi \in \text{Alg}(\text{Mod}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; MU_{(p)}^\Psi)).$$

and $\iota : BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow E_n$ respects the \mathbb{Z} -equivariant \mathbb{E}_1 - $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra structure.

Proof.

Using \mathbb{E}_1 -cell theory, we extend the structure map

$\mathrm{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow (\Psi'_{\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}})_* \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ by a filtration along the structure map

$\mathrm{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ to obtain the dashed map.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & E_n & \\
 & \swarrow \scriptstyle \Psi_{\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle} \text{ (dashed)} & & \searrow & \\
 (\Psi'_{\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}})_* \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad \text{dashed} \quad} & \mathrm{MU}_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & (\Psi'_{\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}})_* E_n \\
 & \nwarrow \scriptstyle g & \nearrow \scriptstyle f & & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

$\xrightarrow{\quad (\Psi'_{\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}})_* \iota \quad}$

We have a filtration

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{MU}_{(p)}) = 0 \rightarrow \Sigma^1(\pi_1(\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle))) \rightarrow \Sigma^1(\pi_1(\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle))) \oplus \Sigma^3(\pi_3(\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)))$$

$$\bigoplus_{i>0} \Sigma^{2i-1}(\pi_{2i-1}(\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle))) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$$

where each fiber is $\pi_{2i-1}(\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle))$ which, by the examples above, is a finite sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

We take X_i to be a sum of copies of $\Sigma^{2i-2} \text{MU}_{(p)}$, so we have a filtration

$$\text{MU}_{(p)} = R_0 \xrightarrow{r_1} R_1 \xrightarrow{r_2} \cdots \rightarrow R_\infty \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle$$

as $\mathbb{E}_1\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra. Here R_i is obtained by R_{i-1} via the lower pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \oplus \Sigma^{2i-2} \text{MU}_{(p)} & \longrightarrow & 0 & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow^{h_i} & & & \\
 \text{MU}_{(p)} \{ \oplus \Sigma^{2i-2} \text{MU}_{(p)} \} & \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} & \text{MU}_{(p)} & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow^{g_i} & & & \\
 R_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{r_i} & R_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{BP}\langle n \rangle & \longrightarrow & E_n \\
 & \searrow^{f_{i-1}} & & \searrow^{f_i} & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & (\Psi')_*(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle) & \longrightarrow & (\Psi')_*(E_n)
 \end{array}$$

Given the commutative diagram with all solid arrows, we want to find a lift f_i . By the universal property of pushout, it suffices to find a lift g_i . Again, by the universal property of free object, it boils down to find a lift h_i .

After simplifying the diagram, we see that we need to find a dashed lift, which in this case amounts to find a nullhomotopy of

$$\oplus \Sigma^{2i-2} \text{MU}_{(p)} \rightarrow \text{hfib}((\Psi^l)_* \iota).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \text{hfib}((\Psi^l)_* \iota) =: F \\
 & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\
 \oplus \Sigma^{2i-2} \text{MU}_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\Psi^l)_*(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow h_i \text{ dashed} & \downarrow \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\Psi^l)_*(E_n)
 \end{array}$$

There exists a long exact sequence of homotopy group:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{2i-1}(E_n) \rightarrow \pi_{2i-2}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{2i-2}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle) \hookrightarrow \pi_{2i-2}(E_n) \rightarrow \cdots$$

which suggest $\pi_{2i-2}(F) = 0$.

$BP\langle n \rangle$ as a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant $\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2$ - $MU_{(p)}^\Psi$ -algebra

Proposition

The underlying $\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2$ - $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra of $BP\langle n \rangle$ lifts to

$$BP\langle n \rangle^\Psi \in \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2}(\text{Mod}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; MU_{(p)}^\Psi)).$$

Proof.

We want to lift $BP\langle n \rangle$ to a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant $(\mathbb{E}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_2)\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra, so we need to equip the \mathbb{Z} -equivariant $\mathbb{E}_1\text{-MU}_{(p)}$ -algebra we constructed with a unital multiplication that is compatible with the \mathbb{Z} -equivariant structure. Using \mathbb{E}_1 -cell theory, we extend ∇ from a filtration along the left arrow to the dashed arrow.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BP\langle n \rangle^\psi \amalg BP\langle n \rangle^\psi & \xrightarrow{\quad \nabla \quad} & BP\langle n \rangle^\psi \\
 \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & BP\langle n \rangle^\psi \otimes_{\text{MU}_{(p)}} BP\langle n \rangle^\psi &
 \end{array}$$

By calculation, we have $\text{fib } \mathbb{H}(s) = \mathbb{H}(BP\langle n \rangle) \otimes_{\text{MU}_{(p)}}^\psi \mathbb{H}(BP\langle n \rangle)$ so its homotopy groups are concentrated in positive even degrees.

We can pick $X_j = \Sigma^{2k_i} \text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi(j)$ for $j < k_i$ and have a filtration

$$\text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi \coprod \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi = R_0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_\infty \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi \otimes_{\text{MU}_{(p)}} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$$

Here R_i is obtained by R_{i-1} via the lower pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma^{2k_i} \text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi(j) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi\{\Sigma^{2k_i} \text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi(j)\} & \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} & \text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi \\
 \downarrow & \searrow r_i & \downarrow \\
 R_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R_i
 \end{array}$$

Dashed arrows from the right side of the square point to $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$:

- $0 \dashrightarrow \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$ (labeled h_i)
- $\text{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi \dashrightarrow \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$ (labeled g_i)
- $R_i \dashrightarrow \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$ (labeled f_i)
- $R_{i-1} \dashrightarrow \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$ (labeled f_{i-1})

Similar to the last proof, the existence of a lift f_i boils is equivalent to the existence of a lift h_i , which means the the composition of maps from $\Sigma^{2k_i} \mathrm{MU}_{(p)}^\Psi(j)$ to $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi$ is nullhomotopic. Note that in general we have a exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^{B\mathbb{Z}}}(X^{\Psi_X}, Y^{\Psi_Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$$

where $F(f)(x) = \Psi_X(x)f - \Psi_Y f(x)$. This induces a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)) &\rightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^{B\mathbb{Z}}}(X^{\Psi_X}, Y^{\Psi_Y})) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)) \\ &\rightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Take $\mathcal{C} = \text{Mod}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}}; MU_{(p)}^\Psi)$, $X = \Sigma^{2k_i} MU_{(p)}^\Psi(j)$, $Y = \text{BP}\langle n \rangle$. We have

$$\pi_1(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)) = \pi_{2k_i+1}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle) = 0$$

so the middle map

$$\pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^{B\mathbb{Z}}}(X^{\Psi_X}, Y^{\Psi_Y})) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y))$$

is injective. By the forgetful-tensor up adjunction, an element $f \in \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ corresponds to $\alpha \in \pi_{2k_i}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle)$. Therefore, in this case

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^{B\mathbb{Z}}}(X^{\Psi_X}, Y^{\Psi_Y})) &= \ker(\pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{F} \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y))) \\ &= \ker(\pi_{2k_i}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle) \xrightarrow{F} \pi_{2k_i}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

We know Ψ acts on $\pi_{2k_i}(MU_{(p)}(j))$ by multiplying by I^{k_i+j} and Ψ acts on $\pi_{2k_i}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ by I^{k_i} . Since $j \neq k_i$, the image of F here is never 0, so $\text{Map}(\Sigma^{2k_i} MU_{(p)}^\Psi(j), \text{BP}\langle n \rangle) = 0$.

Locally unipotent action

Proposition (Corollary A.27, Appendix A)

Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable stable category with a compact generator V . An $X \in \mathcal{C}^{B\mathbb{Z}}$ is locally unipotent if and only if the \mathbb{Z} -action on the homotopy groups $\pi_0(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma^m V, X))$ is locally unipotent for all m .

Corollary

The constructed Adams operation on $BP\langle n \rangle$ is locally unipotent in p -complete spectra after p -completion.

Proof.

We choose the Moore spectrum \mathbb{S}/p to be the compact generators in the category of p -complete spectra and get a long exact sequence of homotopy group

$$\cdots \pi_{m+1}X \xrightarrow{p} \pi_{m+1}X \rightarrow \pi_0(\Sigma^m \mathbb{S}/p, X) \rightarrow \pi_m X \xrightarrow{p} \pi_m X \rightarrow \cdots$$

Taking $X = BP\langle n \rangle$, and we have $\pi_0(\Sigma^m \mathbb{S}/p, BP\langle n \rangle) = \pi_{m+1} BP\langle n \rangle / (p)$. We know the homotopy groups of $BP\langle n \rangle$ concentrate on degrees that are multiple of $2p - 2$, so ψ^l acts by multiplying by $l^{k(p-1)}$ on $\pi_{2k(p-1)}$. By Fermat's theorem, the action is identity mod p .

Putting the pieces together...

Proof. Note that \mathbb{Z}_p^\times lies in the center of \mathbb{G}_n , so Ψ^l commutes with the action of $\mu^{p^n-1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Therefore, there is an identification in $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Sp}^{B\mathbb{Z}})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{T(n)} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle^\Psi & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E_n^\Psi \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ (E_n^\Psi)^{h\mu_{p^n-1} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)} & \longrightarrow & E_n^\Psi \end{array}$$

- [ACB19] Omar Antolín-Camerena and Tobias Barthel. *A simple universal property of Thom ring spectra*. 2019
- [BHLS23] Robert Burkland, Jeremy Hahn, Ishan Levy and Tomer Schlank. *K-Theoretic Counterexamples to Ravenel's Telescope Conjecture*. 2023
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017